# New York State Education Department

2021-2022RenewalSite Visit Reportor BoRAuthorized Charter Schools under the 2015 Charter School Performance Framework

Hebrew Language Academy Charter School

RenewalSite Visit Dates: November 910, 2021 Date of Report: March 21, 2022

> Charter School Office 89 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12234 <u>charterschools@nysed.g</u>ov 518

## **Table of Contents**

METHODOLOGY	
BENCHMARK ANALYSIS	
SJMMARY OFINDNGS	
Benchmark: Studen Performance	
BENCHMAR®: TEACHING AND ARNING	
Benchmar&: CultureQimateandFamilyEngagement	
Benchmark: Financia Condition	
Benchmar&: FinanciaManagement	
BENCHMAR®: BOARDOVERSIGHT AND VERNANCE	
BENCHMARK: ORGANIZATION APACITY	
BENCHMAR&: MISSION ANKEYDESIGNELEMENTSG Td (04	2.0

# SCHOOL DESCRIPTION

### Charter School Summary

Name of Charter School	Hebrew Language Academy Charter School		
Board Chair	Adam Miller		
District of location	NYC CS201		
Opening Date	Fall 2017		
Charter Terms	Initial Charter:S		

Hebrew Language Academy Charter School 2021-

Short-Term RenewalA school's charter may be renewed for a shorter term, typically of three years. As discussed above, the Regents will place an even greater emphasis on student performance for schools applying for their second or subsequent renewheath is consistent with the greater time that a school has been in operation and the corresponding increase in the quantity and quality of student achievement data that the school has generated. In order for a school to be eligible for shotterm renewal, a school must either:

(a) <u>have compiled a mixed or limited rec</u>ord meeting Benchmark 1, but at the time of the renewal analysis, have met substantially all of the other performance benchmarks in the Framework which will likely result in the school's being able to meet Benchmark 1 with the additional time that shorterm renewal permitsor

(b) <u>have compiled an overall record of meeti</u>Bgnchmark 1 but falls far below meeting one or more of the other performance benchmarks in the Framework.

Non-Renewal: A school's charter will not be renewed if the school does notyafor renewal, or the school fails to meet the criteria for either full rm or shortterm renewal. In the case of non renewal, a school's charter will be terminated upon its expiration and the school will be required to comply with the Charter School @#'s Closing Proceduresensure an orderly closure by the end of the school year.

Please Note: The Regents may include additional terms, conditions, and/or requirements in a school's Full-Term or ShortTerm Renewal charter to address specific situations or areas of concern. For example, a school may meet the standards for full-rm renewal or shortTerm renewal with regard to its educational success but may be required to address organizational deficiencies that need to be corrected but do not prevent the Regents from making the required legal findingsenewal A school may also meet the standards for fullerm renewal or shortTerm renewal of only a portion of its educational program (e.g., for the elementary school program, but not the dischool program). Such additional terms and/or requirements may include, but are not limited to, restrictions on the number of students and grades to be served by the school, additional student performance metrics, heightened reporting requirements, ospecific corrective action.

### SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

CurrentGrade Levels and ApproveEthrollment Year 1 p [(d)2.1 /P <</18 ur0.9 (r)-7.8 ( 1)]T8(de)-1 ( L 2.598 0 Td ( )Tj EMC /P <</MCII

ProposedRenewal Term Grade Levels and posedEnrollment Requested by the School

Year 1	Year2	Year3	Year4	Year
2022 to 2023	2023 to 2024	2024 to 2025	2025 to 2026	

classroom observations. It is shared with the school prior to the site avisd can be found in the <u>Renewal</u> <u>SV Protocol</u>

The documents and data reviewed by the team before, during, and after the site visit indhueled following:

Current 2021-2022 organizational chart; Board materials (roster, minutes

# BENCHMARK ANALYSIS

The2015 Performance Framework, hich is part of the oversight performance in the Charter Agreement for each schooloutlines 10 Performance ramework benchmarks in three key areas of charter school performance:

Educational Success Organizational Soundness Faithfulness to Charter and Law

Observational findings from the review of the renewal application, supporting data, and the site visit will

### New York State Education Department 2015Chater School Performance FramewoRkating<sup>3</sup>

# 2015 Performance Benchmark Level Benchmark 1: Student Performanc€he school has met or exceeded achievement indisator academic trendsoward proficiency and high school graduation. At all gradels and all assessments, scoring proficiently machieving a performance level of 3hoigher (high school Regents and Common Core Regents exam score of 65 or higher).

Educational Success

### Summary of Findings

HLA2 is in its fourth year of operation and serves students Grtade5. During its current charter term, the school is rated in the following manner: miegtfive benchmarks and approaching five benchmarks. A summary of those rating prisvided below

Summary of Areas of Growth and Strengths

Among only a small network of schools in NIYAC center on the stuter

concerns persist: aggregate enrollment has steadily declined since, **20**10B ELL and ED enrollment is sill below the district of location, as 'all student' and subgroup retention, based on SY 202021 data The school is currently in a facility that has capacity limitations, which

### Benchmark 1: Student Performance

The school has met or exceeded achievement indicators for academic trends toward proficiency, proficiency, and high school graduation. At all grade levels and all assessments, scoring proficiently means achieving a performance level of 3 or higher (high school Regents and Common Core Regents exam score of 65 or higher).

i4 -217.3

### Finding:Approaches

Note: State assessments were not administered in the 20020 school yearand were administered under the COVID9 constraints during the 2022021 school yearAs such, NYSED is limited to what results it carincludefrom those two years in the analysis of this benchmarkssoha(d)-0.2.2 3-6.8<//

Hebrew Language Academy Charter School 2021-

core content, so that 'classrooms have expeacing frequently occurring at scheduled times in the day/week," creating a student to teacher ratio of about 14 to 1.

HLA2 uses the Gradual Release of Responsibility model to drive instruction, which emphasizes elements of student-driven inquiry.

Instructional strategies applied include fodessons, gided instruction, collaborative earning and independentlearning

From K–Grade4, ELA and ocial studies content are interv –Grade2 this is through Readers' and Writers' Workshop

modifications. The renewal application asserts that during independent practice teachers conference with students individually and in small groups.

Indicator e: The renewal application states that HLA2 school leadership arathhlally review feedback from student data, classroom teachers, students, and families to assess the curriculum's effectiveness. Also referenced was

students to participate and allow teachetes ascertain knowledge more thoroughly. Teachers appeared skilled at using technologiticluding a platform that recorded student responses. However, ittle or no peerto-peer communication, readingor writing tasks were observed. Ninety-three percent of teachers who responded to the CSO 2021 Teacher Survey strongly or somewhat agree that the school's instructional delivery fosters engagement with all students, whereas 7% who responded strongly or somewhat disagree with that statteme

hm o ru0.8 (t)-5.9 (.)-3.3 (u)-36

Indicator c: The school's 2021 2022 curriculum audit may be a function of assessing the effectiveness of the academic program; however, based on discussions during the focus groups, leadership stated that teachers were being coached to align leaptbjæctives. Since the visit, school leaders have stressed that they are "constantly using data to consider the state of the academic program and what adjustments need the made" and that "data from interim assessments were used to determine which standardeeded spiral review or additional instruction, and adjustments were ride to math lessons as a result. The school's 2021 Self Evaluation Report stated that as a result of low reading scores, the team shifted in the 2022 1 SY to extended time in guid reading and increased small group instruction for students needing additional support. References to the intentionality and focus on small group instruction was a consistent theme throughout the focus group discussions with teachers and was seen during classroom observation students has set rigorous goals. For example, reports shared by school leadership indicate that the goal for the current year's TNPES A test administration is: 75% of all Grade 4 and 5 students who took the assessmenteip rev (i)-3.3R (t)-5.9 (f (at)-3 a(ev (i)-39)

many ELLs tested out of ELL status when the special administration of the NYSITELL was held last fall; however, wrap around supports" (former ELL services) are still provided.

Indicator b: Whileschooladministrators were asked by the CSO teartor highlight forobservation those classes with a high density of ELLs, it was not apparent within the while states set use the set of the set o

In terms of SWDs and students with an IER school'srenewal application states that HLA2 provides instruction via the least restrictive environment possible to the extent appropriate and subject to the requirements included in each student's IEP in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulation (s.g., IDEA). There is a fultime social worker or plicli(s). Id(s)]52 (n)6 (

### Benchmark 3: Culture, Climatend FamilyEngagement

The school has systems in place to support students' social and emotional health and to provide for a safe and respectful learning environment. Families, community members and school staff work together to  $4\pi \sigma d_{\rm S} = 1.3 \, {\rm M} \, {\rm m}$  to  $4\pi \sigma d_{\rm S} = 1.3 \, {\rm M} \, {\rm m}$  to  $4\pi \sigma d_{\rm S} = 1.3 \, {\rm M} \, {\rm m}$ 

1. Element:Behavior Management and Safety:

Indicator a: During the teacher focus group, there was a consensus among the group that the social and emotional (SEL) deans and staff were needed to support studies twell as teachers, in terms of behavior management. All teachers acknowledged the attentiveness, expertised skill of the existing deanindicating they often engaged him for support, batso stated that he may

### Benchmark 4: Financial Condition

The school is in sound and stable financial condition as evidenced by performance on key financial indicators.

Finding: Meets

Over this charter term, the trajector for this benchmark has been consistent as a Meeths is based on performance on key indicators derived from the school's independently audited financial statements

Summative Evidence for Benchmark 4:

See the school's fiscal dashboard attached to the end of this report (Charter School Fiscal Accountability Summary). The fiscal dashboard provides detailed information regarding the school's compliance with Benchmark 4 of the Charter School Performance Fra

Hebrew Language Academy Charter School 2 -

Hebrew Language Academy Charter School 2021-

up for learning lossmaintaining a healthy environment, supporting school **lead** and holding the CMO accountable. They are also working **com**munity partnership, which currently include the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce, the Jewish Community Center of Bensonhurst for after school services, and the Kings Bay YMCA selfevaluation report indicates the board training and development is offered through BoardSource. However, trustees in the focus group did not identify objectives, goals rareas of focus for future board development.

Indicator c: Tailored governance training would support and strengthen the board's capacity to provide active oversight of the charter school management and progress toward meeting academic and other school goalburing their focus group, board members expressed that they maintain a god relationship with the CMO and listen to public stakeholdets/e bring 8 -35(m)4d 8 (s)- 3

performance. This is supported by responses to the provide the provided of the

staff who mirror the diversity of the student bodand on hiring male teachers as these are underrepresented in elementary schools.

Indicator b: During the focus group discussion, teachers shared tyratide teams meet weekly to develop lesson planand review academic datand that they collaborate with grade teams from other network schools, which all share a similar program. teams have dedicated planning time.

Indicator c: Tw 1619 (m)33 (s)1.II2.3 (Itb Tc.6 (c)-2.8 (e)-6 76 (t)-2.9 )]Te(m)-0.77e3.4 (a)1893Le xam x

### 3. Element:Contractual Relationships

Indicator a: According to the board of trustees focus group, the A2 board of trustees and the school leadership have an established working relationship with the management company. The

behitierrato.rb(:

### Benchmark 8: Mission and Key Design Elements

The school is faithful to its mission and has implemented the key design elements included in its charter.

### Finding:Meets

<u>Element</u>

Indicators

### Benchmark 9: Enrollment, Recruitment, and Retention

The school is meeting or making annual progress toward meeting the enrollment plan outlined in its charter and its enrollment and retention targets for students with disabilities, English language learners, and students who are eligible applicants for the free and reduced priced lunch program; or has demonstrated that it has made extensive good faith efforts lun

Hebrew Language Academy Charter School 2

### Benchmark 10: Legal Compliance

The school complies with applicable laws, regulations, and the provisions of its charter.

### Finding:Approaches

<u>Element</u>

Indicators

 a. The school has compiled a record of substantial compliance with applistate and federal laws and the provisions of its charter including, but not limited to those related to student admissions and enrollment; FOIL and Open Meetings Law; protecting the ights of students and employees; financial management and oversight; governance and reporting; and health and safety requirements.
 b. The school has undertaken appropriate corrective action when needed an implemented necessary safeguards to aintain compliance with all legs requirements
 c. The school has sought Board of Regents and/or Charter School Office ap for significant revisions

Summative Evidence for Benchmark 10:

Over this charter term, the trajectory forms benchmark has declined from a Meets to an Approaches. The school has a record of noncompliance with staff fingerprint clearance and teacher certification requirements as well as overall enrollment below the wme.6 (e)--3.3 (n)

reported that the school has been working closely with the NYC Charter School Center on a certification audit, and HLA2 and its sister schools have launched initiatives to increase the number of certified eachers hired.

In terms of enrollment, and as noted in BM 9, HLA2 has not yet met its targeted or contracted enrollment and is below the 85% threshold that serves as a minimum to indicate that a school is working towards maintaining sufficient enrollmedemand to "meet or come close to meeting" the enrollment plan outlined in its charteThe 202@2021 ELL enrollment for this charter school is underrepresented due to a reporting error.

HLA2 has submitted to the CSO evision to its by-laws. The CSO has reviewed polisies mitted with the school's renewal applications well as its pet20 Tc

-0.0

N

# îX X]X v îX X]] WŒ}(]] vÇr PPŒ Pš v ^μPŒ}μ‰ ^ Z}}o > À o W **N**

4

## îX X]]]X PPCEPš'CEr>ÀoWCE}(]]vÇW **N**

Z P vš• d •š]vP Kμš }u • t PPOE P š v ^μ POE }

### ïX X]]]X v ïX XÀ]X ,]PZ ^ Z}}o Kμš }u • t PPOE P š v ^μ POE}μ‱ }oo P **N**

#### íXX]X PPCEPCEš vCE}oouvšW



## íΧ Χ]]Χ ^µ ΡΟΕ}µ‰ vOE}oou všW

# íX X]X v íX X]]X Z š vš]}vW

S NOTES

### íX X]X v íX X]]X ,]PZ ^ Z}}o W Œ•]•š v W ℕ



	0 ^	Z}}o→→ vZu0EI^}0E EíXî	
	0		